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#### Abstract

This contribution illustrates the bifurcation behaviour of solutions to contact problems with local Coulomb friction. The bifurcation character of solutions is well-known for models with a low number of degrees of freedom. Our aim is to show that a similar phenomen occurs when a finite element approximation with a high number of degrees of freedom is used. We experimentally find a critical value of the coefficient of friction in which one branch of solutions splits into two ones.


## 1 Introduction

Contact problems with local Coulomb friction belong to challenging mathematical problems which remained unsolved for a long time. Recent results on the existence of solutions to this class of problems can be found in [1]. On the other hand, a complete description of the structure of solutions is still missing in a general case. For discrete problems the situation is slightly better. Systems with a very small number of degrees of freedom can be solved "by hand" so that all solutions are available: see for ex. [5] where the system was parametrized by applied loads $P$ and [4] where the parametrization by a coefficient of friction $\mathscr{F}$ is used. Nevertheless it is not still clear if and how these results can be extended to finite element models with a very high number of dof. which are already close to a continuous model. In this contribution we focus on the parametrization by $\mathscr{F}$. To our knowledge there are only few results valid for any number of dof., namely $(a)$ the existence of locally lipschitz continuous branches of solutions (see [4]) (b) the existence of a solution for any coefficient of friction and uniqueness of the solution if $\mathscr{F}$ is below a critical value which (unfortunately) depends on a discretization parametr of a finite element model

[^0](see [2]). In practice this means that for a given finite element partition one may have a different number of solutions depending on the value of $\mathscr{F}$. The aim of this paper is to document this phenomenon experimentally for "real" discretizations: one branch of the solutions splits into (at least) two ones for $\mathscr{F}$ passing a critical value. As a model of friction we use Coulomb's law with a coefficient which depends on a solution.

## 2 Setting of the problem

Let us consider an elastic body represented by a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}(d=2,3)$ with the Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega=\bar{\Gamma}_{u} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{p} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{c}$ where $\Gamma_{u}, \Gamma_{p}, \Gamma_{c}$ are non-empty, disjoint parts of $\partial \Omega$. On each part different boundary conditions are prescribed: $\Omega$ is fixed along $\Gamma_{u}$, while surface tractions of density $P$ act on $\Gamma_{p}$. The body is unilaterally supported by a rigid foundation $S$ along $\Gamma_{c}$. For the sake of simplicity we shall suppose that $S$ is either a half-plane $(d=2)$ or a half-space $(d=3)$ and there is no gap between $\Omega$ and $S$ in the undeformed state. Finally, $\Omega$ is subject to body forces of density $F$. Our aim is to find an equilibrium state of $\Omega$ taking into account friction between $\Omega$ and $S$ which obeys the classical Coulomb law with a coefficient of friction $\mathscr{F}$ depending on a solution. An equilibrium state is characterized by a displacement vector $u: \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d}$ which satisfies the equilibrium equations of linear elasticity in $\Omega$, the classical boundary conditions on $\Gamma_{u}$ and $\Gamma_{p}$ and the following unilateral and friction conditions on $\Gamma_{c}$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
T_{n}:=T(u) \cdot n \leq 0, \quad u_{n}:=u \cdot n \leq 0, T_{n} u_{n}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{c} \\
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left\|T_{t}(u)\right\| \\
u_{t}(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \\
u^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=T_{t}(u)(x)=\mathscr{F}(\|) T_{n}(u) \text { on } \Gamma_{c}  \tag{2}\\
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $T_{n}(u), T_{t}(u):=T(u)-T_{n}(u) n$ is the normal, tangential component of a stress vector $T(u)$, respectively which corresponds to $u ; u_{n}, u_{t}:=u-u_{n} n$ is the normal, tangential component of a displacement vector $u$, respectively. The symbol || || in (2) stands for the absolute value of a scalar $(d=2)$ or the Euclidean norm of a vector $(d=3)$. Finally, $\mathscr{F}$ is a coefficient of friction whose value depends on the magnitude of $u_{t}$ on $\Gamma_{c}$.

Assuming that $\Omega$ is made of a linear elastic material which obeys a linear Hooke law characterized by elasticity coefficients $c_{i j k l} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, the weak form of our problem is given by the following implicit variational inequality:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find } u \in K \text { such that } \\
a(u, v-u)+j(u, u, v)-j(u, u, u) \geq L(v-u) \quad \forall v \in K \tag{P}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The meaning of symbols is as follows (the summation convention is addopted):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V} & =\left\{v \in\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{d} \mid v=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{u}\right\} \\
K & =\left\{v \in \mathbb{V} \mid v_{n} \leq 0 \text { on } \Gamma_{c}\right\} \\
a(u, v) & :=\int_{\Omega} c_{i j k l} \varepsilon_{k l}(u) \varepsilon_{i j}(v) d x, \quad \varepsilon_{k l}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{l}}+\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}}\right) \\
L(v) & :=\int_{\Omega} F_{i} v_{i} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{p}} P_{i} v_{i} d s, F \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{d}, P \in\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{p}\right)\right)^{d} \\
j(u, v, w) & :=-\left\langle\mathscr{F}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|\right) T_{n}(v),\left\|w_{t}\right\|\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\langle$,$\rangle is the duality pairing between X_{t}=\left\{\varphi \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{c}\right) \mid \exists v \in \mathbb{V}: \varphi=\left\|v_{t}\right\|\right.$ on $\left.\Gamma_{c}\right\}$ and its dual $X_{t}^{\prime}$. In a similar way we define $X_{n}$ as the space of $v_{\left.n\right|_{\Gamma_{c}}}$ of $v \in \mathbb{V}$ and its dual $X_{n}^{\prime}$. The cone of non-negative elements from $X_{t}, X_{n}^{\prime}$ will be denoted by $X_{t}^{+}$, $X_{n+}^{\prime}$, respectively.

The existence of solutions to ( $\mathscr{P}$ ) under appropriate assumptions on data, and in particular on $\mathscr{F}$ has been established in [1]. Numerical realization of $(\mathscr{P})$ is based on an equivalent fixed-point formulation. For $(\varphi, g) \in X_{t}^{+} \times X_{n+}^{\prime}$ fixed let us consider the following contact problem with given friction and the coefficient $\mathscr{F}_{\varphi}:=\mathscr{F}(\varphi):$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find } u:=u(\varphi, g) \in K \text { such that } \\
a(u, v-u)+j(\varphi, g, v)-j(\varphi, g, u) \geq L(v-u) \quad \forall v \in K
\end{array}\right\} \quad(\mathscr{P}(\varphi, g))
$$

and define the mapping $\Phi: X_{t}^{+} \times X_{n+}^{\prime} \mapsto X_{t}^{+} \times X_{n+}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\varphi, g)=\left(\left\|u_{\left.t\right|_{\Gamma_{c}}}\right\|,-T_{n}(u)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in K$ is the unique solution of $(\mathscr{P}(\varphi, g))$. Comparing the definitions of ( $\mathscr{P})$ and $(\mathscr{P}(\varphi, g))$ we see that $u \in K$ solves $(\mathscr{P})$ if and only if it solves $\mathscr{P}\left(\left\|u_{\left.t\right|_{\Gamma_{c}}}\right\|,-T_{n}(u)\right)$ or equivalently, $\left(\left\|u_{\left.t\right|_{\Gamma_{c}}}\right\|,-T_{n}(u)\right)$ is a fixed point of $\Phi$.

## 3 Discretization of ( $\mathscr{P}$ ), properties of the discrete model

Let $\Omega$ be a polygonal $(d=2)$ or a polyhedral $(d=3)$ domain and $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ be a partition of $\bar{\Omega}$ into triangles $(d=2)$ or tetrahedra $(d=3)$ such that $\operatorname{diam} T \leq h \forall T \in \mathscr{T}_{h}$. With any $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ we associate the spaces $V_{h}, \mathbb{V}_{h}$ :

$$
V_{h}=\left\{v_{h} \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \mid v_{\left.h\right|_{T}} \in P_{1}(T) \forall T \in \mathscr{T}_{h}, v_{h}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{u}\right\}, \quad \mathbb{V}_{h}=\left(V_{h}\right)^{d}
$$

By $\mathscr{V}_{h}=V_{\left.h\right|_{\Gamma_{c}}}$ we denote the space of restrictions on $\Gamma_{c}$ of functions from $V_{h}$ while $\mathscr{V}_{h}^{+}$stands for the set of non-negative elements of $\mathscr{V}_{h}$. Further, let $\mathscr{T}_{H}$ be a partition of $\bar{\Gamma}_{c}$ into segments $S_{H}$, $\operatorname{diam} S_{h} \leq H \forall S_{H} \in \mathscr{T}_{H}$. On any $\mathscr{T}_{H}$ we construct the space $L_{H}$ of piecewise constant functions:

$$
L_{H}=\left\{\mu_{H} \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{c}\right)\left|\quad \mu_{H}\right|_{S_{H}} \in P_{0}\left(S_{H}\right) \forall S_{H} \in \mathscr{T}_{H}\right\}
$$

and its subset $\Lambda_{H}$ of all non-negative functions. For any $\left(\varphi_{h}, g_{H}\right) \in \mathscr{V}_{h}^{+} \times \Lambda_{H}$ given we define the following auxiliary problem:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find }\left(u_{h}, \lambda_{H}\right) \in \mathbb{V}_{h} \times \Lambda_{H} \text { such that } \\
a\left(u_{h}, v_{h}-u_{h}\right)+j\left(\varphi_{h}, g_{H}, v_{h}\right)-j\left(\varphi_{h}, g_{H}, u_{h}\right) \geq \\
\quad L\left(v_{h}-u_{h}\right)-\left(\lambda_{H}, v_{h n}-u_{h n}\right)_{0, \Gamma_{c}} \quad \forall v_{h} \in \mathscr{V}_{h} \\
\left(\mu_{H}-\lambda_{H}, u_{h n}\right)_{0, \Gamma_{c}} \leq 0 \quad \forall \mu_{H} \in \Lambda_{H}
\end{array}\right\} \quad\left(\mathscr{P}\left(\varphi_{h}, g_{H}\right)\right)_{h}^{H}
$$

$\left(\mathscr{P}\left(\varphi_{h}, g_{H}\right)\right)_{h}^{H}$ is a mixed formulation of the contact problem with given friction and the coefficient $\mathscr{F}_{\varphi_{h}}:=\mathscr{F} \circ \varphi_{h}$ which uses the dualization of the unilateral constraint $u_{h n} \leq 0$ on $\Gamma_{c}$. Next we shall suppose that $\mathbb{V}_{h}$ and $\Lambda_{H}$ are such that the following condition guaranteeing the uniqueness of a solution to $\left(\mathscr{P}\left(\varphi_{h}, g_{H}\right)\right)_{h}^{H}$ is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{H}, v_{h n}\right)_{0, \Gamma_{c}}=0 \quad \forall v_{h} \in \mathscr{V}_{h} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu_{H}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This enables us to define the mapping $\Phi_{h H}: \mathscr{V}_{h}^{+} \times \Lambda_{H} \mapsto \mathscr{V}_{h}^{+} \times \Lambda_{H}$ by

$$
\Phi_{h H}\left(\varphi_{h}, g_{H}\right)=\left(r_{h}\left\|u_{\left.h t\right|_{\Gamma_{c}}}\right\|, \lambda_{H}\right),
$$

where $\left(u_{h}, \lambda_{H}\right)$ is the solution of $\left(\mathscr{P}\left(\varphi_{h}, g_{H}\right)\right)_{h}^{H}$ and $r_{h}: C\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{c}\right) \mapsto \mathscr{V}_{h}$ is a linear approximation operator preserving the monotonicity property: $v \geq 0$ on $\bar{\Gamma}_{c} \Rightarrow r_{h} v \in$ $\mathscr{V}_{h}^{+}$(the Lagrange interpolation operator, e.g.). Since $-\lambda_{H}$ can be interpreted as the discrete normal stress on $\Gamma_{c}$ the mapping $\Phi_{h H}$ can be viewed to be a discretization of $\Phi$ defined by (3).

Definition 1. By a discrete solution of the contact problem with Coulomb friction and the coefficient depending on a solution we call any function $u_{h} \in \mathbb{V}_{h}$ such that $\left(u_{h}, \lambda_{H}\right)$ is a solution of $\left(\mathscr{P}\left(r_{h}\left\|\left.u_{h t}\right|_{\Gamma_{C}}\right\|, \lambda_{H}\right)\right)_{h}^{H}$, i.e. $\left(r_{h}\left\|u_{\left.h t\right|_{\Gamma_{C}}}\right\|, \lambda_{H}\right)$ is a fixed point of $\Phi_{h H}$.

Let us recall main results concerning the existence and uniqueness of the fixed point of $\Phi_{h H}$. Proofs for 2D problems can be found in [3] but their adaptation to the 3D case is easy.

## Theorem 1. It holds:

(a) if $\mathscr{F} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{1}\right), 0 \leq \mathscr{F}(t) \leq \mathscr{F}_{\text {max }} \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{1}$, where $\mathscr{F}_{\text {max }}$ is given then there exists at least one fixed point of $\Phi_{h H}$;
(b) if, in addition to (a), $\mathscr{F}$ is Lipschitz continuous in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{1}$ :

$$
\left|\mathscr{F}\left(t_{1}\right)-\mathscr{F}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \leq l\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| \quad \forall t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{1}
$$

so $\Phi_{h H}$ is in $\mathscr{V}_{h}^{+} \times \Lambda_{H}: \exists q>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Phi_{h H}\left(\varphi_{h}, g_{H}\right)-\Phi_{h H}\left(\bar{\varphi}_{h}, \bar{g}_{H}\right)\right\| \leq q\left\|\left(\varphi_{h}, g_{H}\right)-\left(\bar{\varphi}_{h}, \bar{g}_{H}\right)\right\| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $\left(\varphi_{h}, g_{H}\right),\left(\bar{\varphi}_{h}, \bar{g}_{H}\right) \in \mathscr{V}_{h}^{+} \times \Lambda_{H}$, where

$$
\left\|\left(\varphi_{h}, g_{H}\right)\right\|:=\left\|\varphi_{h}\right\|_{0, \Gamma_{c}}+\left\|g_{H}\right\|_{h}, \quad\left\|g_{H}\right\|_{h}:=\sup _{\mathbb{V}_{h}} \frac{\left(g_{h}, v_{h n}\right)_{0, \Gamma_{c}}}{\left\|v_{h}\right\|_{1, \Omega}}
$$

The constant $q$ in (5) depends on $\Omega, h, H, \mathscr{F}_{\max }$ and $l$ in such a way that for $\Omega, h, H$ fixed, $q \rightarrow 0+$ if $\mathscr{F}_{\text {max }}, l \rightarrow 0+$.
Remark 1. There exist $\overline{\mathscr{F}}>0, \bar{l}>0$ both depending on $\Omega, h$ and $H$ such that if $\mathscr{F}_{\max } \leq \overline{\mathscr{F}}$ and $l \leq \bar{l}$ the mapping $\Phi_{h H}$ is contractive in $\mathscr{V}_{h}^{+} \times \Lambda_{H}$ so that $\Phi_{h H}$ has a unique fixed point and the method of successive approximations converges.
Remark 2. If the following Babuška-Brezzi condition and the inverse inequality are satisfied, i.e.

$$
\left\|\mu_{H}\right\|_{h} \geq \beta\left\|\mu_{H}\right\|_{X_{n}^{\prime}}, \quad\left\|\mu_{H}\right\|_{0, \Gamma_{c}} \leq \bar{\beta} H^{-1 / 2}\left\|\mu_{H}\right\|_{X_{n}^{\prime}}, \quad \forall \mu_{H} \in L_{H}
$$

where $\beta, \bar{\beta}>0$ do not depend on $h, H>0$ then the bounds $\overline{\mathscr{F}}, \bar{l}$ guaranteeing the uniqueness of the solution are bounded from above by $\sqrt{h H}$, i.e. are meshdependent ([3]).

Let us comment on the previous results. Unlike to the continuous setting in which the existence of a solution has been shown for $\mathscr{F}$ small enough, a solution to the discrete model exists for any $\mathscr{F}$ satisfying $(a)$ of Theorem 1 regardless of the shape of $\Omega, \mathscr{F}_{\text {max }}, l$ and the applied forces $F$ and $P$. Moreover, if $\mathscr{F}_{\text {max }}$ and $l$ are small enough, the solution to the discrete model is unique. Unfortunately, this uniqueness result depends on the mesh norms $h, H$ as follows from Remark 2. One of ways how a possible non-uniqueness comes to light is that the method of successive approximations used for finding fixed points of $\Phi_{h H}$ depends on the choice of initial approximations. In the next section we illustrate this phenomenon on model examples in 2D and 3D: starting from two different initial approximations we find two different fixed points for a particular coefficient of friction $\mathscr{F}$. Then taking the same examples (with the same $\mathscr{T}_{h}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{H}$ ) but replacing $\mathscr{F}$ by $\xi \mathscr{F}$, where $\xi \rightarrow 0+$ we find (accordingly to our theoretical results) a critical value $\bar{\xi}>0$ for which originally two different fixed points will coincide for $\xi<\bar{\xi}$ using the same initial approximations as before.

## 4 Examples with branching solutions

We start with a 2D problem. The body represented by $\Omega=(0,10) \times(0,1)[m]$ is made of an elastic material characterized by the Young modulus $E=21.19 e 10[P a]$ and Poisson's ratio $\sigma=0.277$. The partition of $\partial \Omega$ into $\Gamma_{u}, \Gamma_{p}=\Gamma_{p 1} \cup \Gamma_{p 2}$ and $\Gamma_{c}$ is seen from Fig. 1. The surface tractions $P$ are linearly distributed along $\Gamma_{p 1}$ and $\Gamma_{p 2}$ starting from the following values: $P_{\Gamma_{p 1}}(0,1)=(0,1 . e 6)[N], P_{\Gamma_{p 1}}(10,1)=$ $(0,-8 . e 6)[N], P_{\Gamma_{p 2}}(10,1)=(-10 . e 6,10 . e 6)[N]$ and $P_{\Gamma_{p 2}}(10,0)=(-10 . e 6,-3 . e 6)$ $[N]$. The body forces are neglected. The graph of the coefficient of friction $\mathscr{F}$ is shown in Fig. 2.


Fig. 1 Geometry of the problem

a) $\xi=0.98581$

Fig. 3 Tangential displacements on $\Gamma_{c}$


Fig. 4 Friction force $T_{t}(u)$ on $\Gamma_{c}$

Numerical realization of each iterative step of the method of successive approximations is based on its dual formulation (for details see [3]). The used partitions of $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{c}$ give 26640 primal variables and 720 dual variables (discrete contact stresses). Two different initial approximations were used, namely $\left(\varphi_{h}^{(0)}, g_{H}^{(0)}\right)=$ $(0,0)$ corresponding to a contact problem without friction and $\left(\bar{\varphi}_{h}^{(0)}, \bar{g}_{H}^{(0)}\right)=(0,1 . e 8)$
(a contact problem with a high slip bound). Starting from them two different fixed points of $\Phi_{h}^{H}$ were obtained. Since the most significant differences are in the tangential direction we focus on it. One of these solutions is such that a slip occurs along the whole $\Gamma_{c}$ (solution 1 ) while both stick and slip zone are present for the second one (solution2). Now instead of $\mathscr{F}$ we take $\xi \mathscr{F}$. If $\xi=0.98581$ than again two solutions with the same character as for $\xi=1$ appear. On the other hand if $\xi=0.9858$ both solutions meet together and only one solution with a slip along the whole $\Gamma_{c}$ is obtained (see Figs. 3 and 4).

Now we switch to 3D problems. Let the body be represented by $\Omega=(0,10) \times$ $(0,1) \times(0,1)[m]$. The decomposition of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ into $\Gamma_{u}, \Gamma_{p}$ and $\Gamma_{c}$, as well as the applied surface tractions $P$ are seen from Fig. 5. The Young modulus $E$, Poisson's ratio $\sigma$ and the coefficient of friction $\mathscr{F}$ are the same as in the 2D case. The body forces are neglected again. Discretizations of $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{c}$ are such that the total number of the primal, dual variables is 30000 and 12700 , respectively. The initial approximations for the method of successive approximations are the same as before. Denote $\mathscr{F}_{\xi}:=\xi \mathscr{F}$. For $\xi=1.37689$ we get two different solutions: the one sliding along the whole $\Gamma_{c}$, the other one with a stick and slip zone as shown in Fig. 6. The norm of $T_{t}(u)$ on $\Gamma_{c}$ is depicted in Fig. 7 and the distribution of $T_{n}(u)$ and $u_{n}$ on $\Gamma_{c}$ are shown in Figs. 8 and 9 for (solution 2). Setting $\xi=1.37688$ both solutions joint together. The obtained solution slides along the whole $\Gamma_{c}$, i.e. has the character of (solution 1).


Fig. 5 Geometry of the problem
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